

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/524,408	02/14/2005	Peter Geskes	016906-0374	4673
22428	7590 07/19/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			LEO, LEONARD R	
SUITE 500 3000 K STRE	EET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			3753	
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/524,408	GESKES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leonard R. Leo	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 App 2a) This action is FINAL.	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-7,18 and 19 is/are versions. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,8-17,20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner are subjected to be subjected to by the Examiner are subjected to be subjected to by the Examiner are subjected to be	withdrawn from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed on April 14, 2006 has been entered. Claim 2 is cancelled, claims 1 and 3-21 are pending, and claims 4-7 and 18-19 remain withdrawn from further consideration.

Applicant is reminded that the withdrawn claims must remain labeled as "withdrawn," even when amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 depends on cancelled claim 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 9, 11-13, 16-17 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakada et al. The tube 2A is "*partially* matched" to both the internal and external contours of the collection manifold 4A. Regarding claims 10 and 12, the tubes 2A of Nakada et al are inserted and brazed in recesses 42 in the collection manifold. Regarding claim 13, Figure 17 of Nakada et al discloses longitudinal partition 45 dividing two regions H. Regarding claims

Art Unit: 3753

20-21, Nakada et al (Technical Field) discloses the heat exchanger can be employed in any desired environment. Arguendo, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakada et al in view of Kuroyanagi et al.

Nakada et al discloses all the claimed limitations except a slot in the tube end.

Kuroyanagi et al discloses a heat exchanger comprising a number of flat tubes 42, 44 connected to collection manifold regions 8, 10-11, 13 divided by longitudinal partition 16, wherein the tube end has a slot (Figure 18) for the purpose of accommodating the longitudinal partition.

Since Nakada et al and Kuroyanagi et al are both from the same field of endeavor and/or analogous art, the purpose disclosed by Kuroyanagi et al would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Nakada et al.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Nakada et al a slot in the tube end for the purpose of

accommodating the longitudinal partition as recognized by Kuroyanagi et al.

Regarding claim 15, Kuroyanagi et al discloses through-openings 18 in partition 16.

Response to Arguments

The rejections in view of Sugawara et al are withdrawn in view of the claim amendment.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

There appears to be no specific argument with respect to the rejections in view of Nakada et al. However, the term "partially matched" has been interpreted as a "part" or "portion" of the outer contour of the tube being matched with the manifold. As set forth in claim 3, both the internal and external contours of the manifold are recited to be "partially matched" with the outer contour of the tube. The reading of Nakada et al is consistent with the claimed invention.

The rejection in view of Kuroyanagi et al is deemed correct for teaching a slot in the tube end for the purpose of accommodating the longitudinal partition.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 3753

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel can be reached on (571) 272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LEONARD R. LEO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3753